

Figure 1. Schematic representation of the experimental design. The subjects were divided into two groups: a control group and an experimental group. The control group received a placebo (P) and the experimental group received a 100 mg dose of diazepam (D). The subjects were then divided into two subgroups: a baseline group (B) and a post-treatment group (P). The baseline group received a baseline test (B) and the post-treatment group received a post-treatment test (P). The subjects were then divided into two subgroups: a control group (C) and an experimental group (E). The control group received a control test (C) and the experimental group received an experimental test (E). The subjects were then divided into two subgroups: a control group (C) and an experimental group (E). The control group received a control test (C) and the experimental group received an experimental test (E).

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ALABAMA 00 SEP 2  
SOUTHERN DIVISION U.S. DISTRICT COURT

U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
N.D. OF ALABAMA

TONY WILLIAMS,

Plaintiff,

**VS.**

CAPTAIN J. S. MONTGOMERY  
and DEPUTY STRICKLAND,

Defendants.

CV 97-AR-1485-S


**ENTERED**  
SEP 26 2000

## MEMORANDUM OF OPINION

The magistrate judge filed a report and recommendation on September 11, 2000, recommending that the defendants' motion for summary judgment be granted and this cause be dismissed with prejudice. No objections have been filed by any party.

Having carefully reviewed and considered *de novo* all the materials in the court file, including the report and recommendation, the Court is of the opinion that the magistrate judge's report is due to be and is hereby ADOPTED and his recommendation is ACCEPTED. The Court EXPRESSLY FINDS that there are no genuine issues of material fact and that the defendants are entitled to judgment as a matter of law. Accordingly, defendants' motion for summary judgment is due to be GRANTED and this action is due to be DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE. A Final Judgment will be entered.

DONE this the 26<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2000.

  
WILLIAM M. ACKER, JR.  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

38